

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,431	07/19/2001	Andrei W. Konradi	002010-684	2656
75	90 12/17/2002			
Gerald F. Swiss, Esq. BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER	
			JIANG, SHAOJIA A	
Alexamdria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	4
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	on N . Applicant(s)				
	09/910,431	KONRADI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shaojia A. Jiang	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears n the cover sh et	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory procion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may bely within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		, the Francisco				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	one priority under 00 0.0.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/910,431

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILD ACTION

This application claims priority to provisional applications Serial No. 60/015,326 and 60/220,128.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1 and 4 drawn to a compound of Formula I, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
- II. Claims 2-3 drawn to a compound of Formula II, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
- III. Claim 5 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a compound of Formula I or II, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
- IV. Claim 6 drawn to a method for binding VLA-4 in a biological sample employing a compound of structural formula I or II, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
- V. Claims 7-8 drawn to a method for treating an inflammatory condition in a mammalian patient employing a compound of structural formula I or II, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.

Inventions I and II are unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions are separate and distinct each from the other

Application/Control Number: 09/910,431

Art Unit: 1617

because they have different modes of operation since they are different compounds having different structures.

Inventions Group I or II; and III-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, for example, a steroid may be used a method of treating an inflammatory condition in a mammal.

Groups III-VII are unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the inventions are separate and distinct each from the other because they have different functions and different modes of operation. Each method of treatment relates to a separate and distinct area of pharmaceutical technology. The search for all inventions would place an undue burden on the examiner in view of the diversity of the medical disorders to be treated and the corresponding diversity in the field of search for each.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1617

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: numerous active agents, for example, in claims 1 and

- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of
 - 1) a specified species for the elected group (see above restriction); and
 - 2) a single specific disease or condition to be treated in Group IV-V

for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-8 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species. The claims read on the employment of various compounds of the structural formula herein, with great diversity of chemical structure classified across class 514, the search for all of which presents an undue burden on the Office. It is noted that a reference to one individual agent would not be a reference to another individual agent under 35 U.S.C.103. The claims also read on numerous diseases or conditions to be treated.

A "specie" is a specific compound or treatment, with all parameters and/or substituent variables FULLY accounted for.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jiang, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1235.

Shaojia A. Jiang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner, AU 1617 December 10, 2002